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#### Abstract

We investigate the asymptotic behaviour of the number $s_{n}$ of spiral self-avoiding walks on the square lattice and show that $s_{n} \sim \rho^{\vee n}$ with $\exp \left(\pi / 3^{1 / 2}\right) \leqslant \rho \leqslant \exp \left(2 \pi / 3^{1 / 2}\right)$.


In a recent paper Privman (1983) has introduced a spiral self-avoiding walk model on the square lattice. A spiral self-avoiding walk is a self-avoiding walk with the added constraints that the walk is not allowed to make a left turn. At each step the walk must either continue in the same direction as the last step, or turn right. Privman assumes that the number $s_{n}$ of such walks had the asymptotic form

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{n} \sim n^{\gamma} \lambda^{n} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

by analogy with self-avoiding walks not subject to the spiral constrant. From a series analysis study he estimated that $\lambda=1.15 \pm 0.15$.

In this letter we present an argument, based on a connection between $s_{n}$ and the number of certain types of partitions, which indicates that

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{n} \sim \rho^{\sqrt{ } n} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we derive upper and lower bounds on the value of $\rho$.
Let $w$ be a self-avoiding walk on the square lattice, with vertices numbered 0,1 , $2, \ldots, n$ having coordinates $\left(x_{k}, y_{k}\right), k=0,1, \ldots, n$, with $x_{0}=y_{0}=0$. Since the walk is self-avoiding all vertices are distinct. If the self-avoiding walk $w$ has no left turns then $w \in S_{n}$. We define the top row of the walk to be the set of vertices with largest $x$ coordinate and the top vertex to be the vertex in the top row having largest $y$ coordinate. Let $E_{n}$ be the subset of $S_{n}$ such that the top vertex of a walk in $E_{n}$ has coordinates $\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)$, i.e. the top vertex is also the last vertex in the walk. We write $s_{n}$ and $e_{n}$ for the numbers of members of $S_{n}$ and $E_{n}$.

We now derive inequalities relating $e_{n}$ and $s_{n}$. Clearly

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{n} \leqslant s_{n} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define $T_{n}$ to be the set of self-avoiding $n$-step walks with the restriction that no right turns are allowed and $F_{n}$ to be the subset of $T_{n}$ such that the last vertex of the walk is also the top vertex. By symmetry, $T_{n}$ has $s_{n}$ members and $F_{n}$ has $e_{n}$ members.

We now define the subset $S_{n}(m)$ of $S_{n}$ such that $w \in S_{n}(m)$ if
(i) $w \in S_{n}$ and
(ii) the top vertex of $w$ has coordinates $\left(x_{m}, y_{m}\right)$, i.e. if the top vertex of $w$ is the $m$ th vertex of $w$. The $S_{n}(m)$ are mutually disjoint. If there are $s_{n}(m)$ members of
$S_{n}(m)$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{n}=\sum_{m=0}^{n} s_{n}(m) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For each $w \in E_{m}$ and each $w^{\prime} \in F_{n-m}$, we join the two graphs by translating $w^{\prime}$ so that the graphs coincide at their top vertices. If we reverse the direction of the arrows in $w^{\prime}$ we generate a set of graphs which include all members of $S_{n}(m)$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{n}(m) \leqslant e_{m} e_{n-m}, \quad 0<m<n . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly $s_{n}(n) \equiv e_{n}$ and $s_{n}(0)=e_{n}$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{n} \leqslant(n+1) \max _{0 \leqslant m \leqslant n}\left(e_{m} e_{n-m}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $e_{0}=1$.
To investigate the asymptotic behaviour of $e_{n}$ we write $k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots$ for the number of steps between successive right turns in a walk which has no left turns. This walk is a member of $E_{n}$ if

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{1}<k_{3}<k_{5}<\ldots \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{2}<k_{4}<k_{6}<\ldots \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can find a lower bound on $e_{n}$ by looking for the number of walks which obey the more restrictive condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{1}<k_{2}<k_{3} \ldots \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is just the number $q_{n}$ of partitions of $n$ into distinct integers. The generating junction of $q_{n}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(x)=\sum q_{n} x^{n}=(1+x)\left(1+x^{2}\right)\left(1+x^{3}\right) \ldots \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the asymptotic behaviour of $q_{n}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log q_{n} \sim \pi \sqrt{n / 3} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

(Hardy and Ramanujan 1917). Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{n} \geqslant q_{n} \sim \exp (\pi \sqrt{n / 3}) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

To derive an upper bound on $e_{n}$ we first note that (7) and (8) define a product of two partitions. If we write $q_{n, m}$ for the number of partitions of $n$ into exactly $m$ distinct integers then

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{n}=\sum_{l} \sum_{m}\left(q_{l, m} q_{n-l, m}+q_{l, m} q_{n-l, m-1}\right) \leqslant \sum_{l} q_{l} q_{n-l} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $e_{n} \leqslant \phi_{n}$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n} \phi_{n} x^{n}=Q(x)^{2}=\prod_{N=1}^{\infty}\left(1+x^{N}\right)^{2} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Following Hardy and Ramanujan (1917) one can show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \phi_{n} \sim 2 \sqrt{c n} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
c=2 \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\log (1+t)}{t} \mathrm{~d} t=\pi^{2} / 6 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{n} \leqslant \phi_{n} \sim \exp (\pi \sqrt{2 n / 3}) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3) and (12) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{n} \geqslant q_{n} \sim \exp (\pi \sqrt{n / 3}) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and from (6) and (17)

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{n} \leqslant(n+1) \max _{0 \leqslant m \leqslant n}\left(\phi_{m} \phi_{n-m}\right) \sim \exp (2 \pi \sqrt{n / 3}) . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have been unable to prove that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-1 / 2} \log s_{n}$ exists but (18) and (19) strongly suggest that

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{n}=\rho^{\sqrt{ } n+0(\vee n)} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $6.1337 \ldots \leqslant \rho \leqslant 37.62 \ldots$. This asymptotic behaviour is quite different from that of the number of 'unrestricted' self-avoiding walks.
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